#E1-2000

The Medina County Environmental Action Association, Inc.

202 CR 450, HONDO, TX 78861
www.dontmesswithquihi.com
DR. ROBERT T. FITZGERALD, PRESIDENT
LESTER LANDRUM, VICE-PRESIDENT
BRAD REGNIER, DIRECTOR
JOE BALZEN, DIRECTOR
ALYNE FITZGERALD, COMMUNICATIONS

Bhone 830-741-5040
Faio 830-426-2060
JACQUE CONRAD, SECRETARY
MARY WALPOLE, TREASURER

MARY WALPOLE, TREASURER TED PORTENIER, DIRECTOR DWIGHT BIEDIGER, WEBSITE ERNA BALZEN, MEMBERSHIP

April 18, 2006

Bobby Caldwell Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 14250 Judson Rd. San Antonio, TX 78233-4480 151106 FD 34284

Re:

Vulcan Materials Company Proposed Quihi quarry WPAP

Dear Mr. Caldwell:

This is the first set of comments and questions from members of the Medina County Environmental Action Association concerning Vulcan Material's proposed storm water and Water Pollution Abatement (WPAP) plans submitted to TCEQ.

Our members believe these plans, though detailed in many areas, omit or minimize other serious areas which could increase flooding hazards to the people in the Quihi valley and also cause harm to the Edwards Aquifer. This harm is represented by contamination discussed later in this letter and with interference with the recharge capability represented by this 1760-acre quarry.

After studying the plans as submitted by Vulcan Materials we believe that they should not be accepted as they are currently written for the following reasons:

- 1.) Vulcan states the Edwards Aquifer lies over 300 feet below the land surface. This is not true. Quarrying will occur to an indeterminate depth. There is no monitoring provisions nor any enforceable guarantee by Vulcan to quarry only to a certain depth.
- 2.) This quarry is almost entirely located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. It is susceptible to contamination because of the following data supplied by Descamp and Overly. There are five major fault lines, forty-three karstification features, two caves, nine solution cavities, one sinkhole and twenty-seven solution-enlarged fractures.

COMMENT BY MCEAA: This is not the place to develop a quarry. Vulcan Materials should have done its' research more thoroughly six and one half years ago instead of doing it in the past six months. After removing the over-burden and mining 130 feet (an average) the Edwards Aquifer will be in even closer contact with such contaminants as diesel fuel and nitrates, not to mention other pollutants. We have warned Vulcan Materials about pollution for years. Their answer is that they have been mining over the Edwards Aquifer for years and we haven't contaminated it <u>yet!</u> What they don't say or ignore is that harmful hydrocarbons and nitrate levels, as well as other poisons levels, are rising. When will it stop?

- 3.) Clean up measures after a spill are almost useless because of the rapid infusion of any spill into the faults of the aquifer roof.
- 4.) Three wells are noted in the quarry. (There are probably others not noted) Vulcan says these wells are to be left in service for quarry-land owners. Previous correspondence from Edwards Aquifer Authority stated all wells should be identified and plugged if not in use. QUESTIONS: How will the quarry land owners use wells in an active quarry?

Why is Vulcan not planning to use these wells?

5.) Vulcan says it will produce no wastewater; therefore, there is none to dispose of.

QUESTION: What jurisdictional status does TCEQ or Edwards Aquifer consider the water in the unlined sediment pond that is supposed to "Evaporate"? Isn't this wastewater? QUESTION: How can anyone be sure that some of the water, which may contain many contaminants, does not enter the Edwards Aquifer?

- 6.) The remaining sediment after drying is "disposed of" within the quarry. Questions arise:
 - (a) What is the make-up of this sediment?
 - (b) Is it analyzed?
 - (c) If not, why not?
 - (d) If it is found to be non-toxic, isn't it still harmful to the recharge capability of the Edwards Aquifer if it is dumped in the quarry or isn't it a source of particulate matter that hasn't been accounted for?
- 7.) Concerning other water matters in the purview of the Edwards Aquifer Authority, two questions arise.
 - (a) What effect will blasting have on water movement within the Edwards Aquifer? Is this a problem because of the strategic location of the quarry? (See section on major faults)
 - (b) What effect will Vulcan Material's use of water for dust suppression and cleaning of limestone (the exact amount is unknown, but is greater than the amount used by Castroville and Hondo populations) have on the Artesian Springs that created Quihi Lake over 10,000 years ago?

COMMENT: Quihi Lake is recognized by the Texas Historical Commission as a historical site, noting that Henry Castro brought the colonists here in 1846.

- 8.) Vulcan says that there will not be any problem with the huge amount of water shed up gradient causing any flooding in the quarry plant or pit site, as movable berms will protect the plant, and rainfall in the pit will be contained therein. This is based on 25-year average rainfall or other hopeful figures. Questions:
 - (a) What is the actual basis of Vulcan's statement that flooding will be contained, other than a conclusory assertion?
 - (b) What guarantee is there in applicable state law, or the proposed WPAP, or other storm water plan itself, that would mandate Vulcan to design the quarry [up gradient of the plant site] in a manner that would support its conclusory assertion as proposed and result in zero flood impact?
 - (c) Assuming that design of the quarry itself is the basis for Vulcan's conclusory assertions regarding flooding, to what extent are those designs legally enforceable and bonding on Vulcan?

COMMENT: The truth is, this area of Texas is part of Flash Flood Alley. Ten or more inches of rain are known to fall here within a 24-hour period. This quarry's location will accentuate the flooding in the down stream area, and for this reason alone, the plan should be rejected. Here again, Vulcan is thinking only of itself and its profits, not the environmental consequences for others. It makes a similar statement when it says it will not mine into the Edwards Aquifer because it would be bad for the quarry, failing to consider the harm to the Edwards Aquifer.

- 9.) COMMENT: The location of the fuel storage and maintenance area is a bad choice. This proposed location is almost as bad as its original choice, which was one half mile to the west. The proposed location is too close to the Elm Creek flood plain, and should not be allowed for this reason. Also, it is barely off the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone.
- 10.) We would like a response to the question concerning this quarry's effect on recharge capability of the Edwards Aquifer over its fifty-year life span. See page 8 of Site Geology Narrative. Attachment D
- 11.) In Permanent Storm Water section, Item 9 is checked, but both alternatives are marked NA. (This deals with sealing of recharge fractures.) Why is this allowed to be disregarded?
- 12.) In Permanent Storm Water Section Item 13 deals with avoidance or minimizing surface stream contamination and changes in the way storm water enters a stream. The four retention tanks <u>do</u> adversely affect flows into the Elm and Polecat Creeks.
- 13.) Question concerning the filtration basins. What pollutants are there? Where are they "properly disposed of"?

14.) COMMENT: Vulcan's rail line as it enters the plant site traverses the Elm and Polecat Creek floodplains, a distance estimated to be approximately 1300 feet in length. There is no type of rail support stated or shown. Previous correspondence from Vulcan to STB denoted a trestle bridge spanning this location. MCEAA believes that this type of structure, or any system consisting of berms and culverts, would pose a serious additional flooding hazard not only to the plant site, but to the down stream environment. The above-mentioned types of rail supports have been known to act as a dam when clogged with debris in times of heavy rainfall. Questions: MCEAA wants to know what types of structures supporting the rail will be used to cross the floodplains. 2. What, if any, hydrology studies have been done to assure that Vulcan's plan would allow adequate flow of storm water through this area from the upstream gradient, to avoid flooding?

In closing, many questions concerning these plans have been stated. The health and safety of the people in this area, and the one and one-half million more people that drink from the Edwards Aquifer, is at stake. We have found over the past six and one-half years that Vulcan Materials has repeatedly stated that it wants to be a "good neighbor". But when it comes to listening to our concerns about roads, railroad intersections, span type bridges, avoiding the historical Quihi area, and many other details, which, if undertaken, would make the people in this area safer, healthier and more willing to live with Vulcan's invasion, aren't being done simply because of the added costs. The entire quarry area badly needs a detailed environmental study, in a single environmental impact statement to prevent the piecemealing and segmentation of impacts caused by Vulcan's varying (mis)representations to federal and state agencies about the scope and nature of the impacts of this massive project. This possibility still exists, but in the meantime, TCEQ must take responsibility for our safety and our water. Please listen to us and answer our questions, and do not take "because we don't have to" as a valid answer from Vulcan.

As a precedent, we respectfully ask for a public meeting to discuss our concerns with TCEQ, Edwards Aquifer Authority and Vulcan Materials. Please give this request serious consideration, and pass this along to the appropriate persons who would have the authority to grant this request.

Sincerely,

Robert Fitzgerald, President

Attached: Additional signatures to this letter, of people in the Quihi area affected by the proposed Vulcan quarry who have read this letter and agree with its comments and questions.

CC: Robert Potts, Edwards Aquifer Authority
U.S. Congressman Henry Bonilla
State Representative Tracy King
Wictoria Rutson, US DoT, Surface Transportation Board
Medina County Judge Jim Barden
Chris Mitchell, Pct. 1 Commissioner, Medina County
Pat Brawner. Medina County Flood Plain Administrator
Con Mims, Nueces River Authority
Fred Wells, Medina County Groundwater District

State Representative Carlos Uresti

Robert 7 Fitzgerald

MCEAA, for your Kome, Health, and Heritage

202 CR 450, HONDO, TX 78861 www.dontmesswithquihi.com
DR. ROBERT T. FITZGERALD, PRESIDENT LESTER LANDRUM, VICE-PRESIDENT BRAD REGNIER, DIRECTOR
JOE BALZEN, DIRECTOR
ALYNE FITZGERALD, COMMUNICATIONS

Ghone 830-741-5040
Fax 830-426-2060

JACQUE CONRAD, SECRETARY
MARY WALPOLE, TREASURER
TED PORTENIER, DIRECTOR
DWIGHT BIEDIGER, WEBSITE
ERNA BALZEN, MEMBERSHIP

April 18, 2006

Bobby Caldwell Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 14250 Judson Rd. San Antonio, TX 78233-4480

Re:

Vulcan Materials Company Proposed Quihi quarry WPAP

Dear Mr. Caldwell:

MCEAA wishes to submit these additional comments and questions to TCEQ concerning Vulcan Materials Water Pollution Abatement Plan, some of which resulted from a technical review by MCEAA's consulting engineer.

- 1. In the Sections relating to TAC 123.5 (6), page 4, number 27, it states there will be no discharges of storm water to surface water or sensitive features. We disagree with this statement. The discharge from containment basins into the Polecat and Elm Creeks supports this statement, as there are sensitive features in those drainage areas within the quarry (and over the recharge zone as well as downstream).
- 2. Why isn't the area to be quarried considered to be impervious cover to some extent?
- 3. How will rainfall be contained entirely in the pit area, especially during the pit's early development period, and in times of heavy rainfall?
- 4. Where will the water from the recycling plant be applied? This is an important question, particularly in the early development of the quarry, considering that the soils have a low infiltration rate.
- 5. What protective measures, if any, are there for the sensitive features in the areas to be quarried, such as SC-S2, SC-S14, WZ-S45, WZ-S71, WZ-S73, SC-S22, SC-S23, SC-S24, SC-S25, BS-S29, BS-S31, and WZ-S72 (in the Polecat Creek flood plain)? If there are no protective measures, why not?
- 6. The total impervious cover is stated to be 1.62%. We believe this figure is erroneous when considering the fifty-year life of the quarry. Therefore the impervious cover determination must be recalculated and its effect on the Edwards Recharge Zone capability reconsidered.
- 7. In light of 6 above, there should be calculations for the TSS removal from the quarry area that would indicate higher runoff coefficients.
- 8. How will the quarry be graded initially to prevent storm water runoff? There are no temporary or permanent runoff controls shown on the plans in the quarry area to reduce off site transport of the increased TSS.
- 9. What happens to the water used in the cleaning processes after it is no longer capable of being recycled? What is its' composition or contents? If not analyzed periodically, how can one be sure that it is safe to be utilized over the quarry or other areas of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone?
- 10. Has the quarry undergone research in regard to preliminary grading, quantities, or quarry capacity in order

- to determine if this (quarries location) is a viable option? This information would dictate how storm water runoff will be addressed in the future of the quarrying process and be important in flood prevention (i.e., runoff calculations).
- 11. Very little detail is given regarding the quarrying process or the transport of processed material from the plant site by trucks or rail line. The latter has been stated to be part of the quarry and may affect storm water runoff due to its placement in the Elm Creek flood plain. This needs to be addressed.

We would like the above to be considered with appropriate responses to our questions. We believe the above additional questions and comments support our belief that this quarry's location and operation together with its proposed rail line offer evidence that this WPAP should not be accepted by TCEQ. Because of the, size, scope, and location of this proposed quarry, we again ask for a public meeting to discuss our questions and comments with TCEQ and Vulcan staff.

Sincerely,

Robert T. Fitzgerald, President

CC: Robert Potts, Edwards Aquifer Authority

Robert 77 itgerald

U.S. Congressman Henry Bonilla State Representative Tracy King

Victoria Rutson, US DoT, Surface Transportation Board

Medina County Judge Jim Barden

Chris Mitchell, Pct. 1 Commissioner, Medina County

Pat Brawner. Medina County Flood Plain Administrator

Con Mims, Nueces River Authority

Fred Wells, Medina County Groundwater District

State Representative Carlos Uresti

April 18, 2006

To: Bobby Caldwell, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

14250 Judson Rd., San Antonio, TX 78233-4480

Re: Vulcan Materials Company, Proposed Quihi quarry, WPAP

Attachment:

NAME (Signature)	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS	
Mary 7. Walpole	MARY F. WALPOLE	5201 FM 2676 HONDO, TX 78861	
Thomas It Ihabale	Tom WALPAE		
		202 er 450	
alyge fetygrald	HLYNE FITZGERAID	- HONDA TX 7886/	
Blakengol	Bussell J MANGOLD	807-33Rd ST HONDO TY 78861	
Weeken Manuald	VERLYN WILLIAM DA	601 1+0000 TX 98861	
Meccy Schulte	<i>/</i> / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	17 40NAQTX 7876	
Lenn Nale	LINN HAB.	9DA 00 2010	
Drauto Bear	Donato Rios	10099 Fm 2674	
	CONATO 1 105 M	6099 FM 2676 HONDA TX 7886/	
Thyoreth ford	Elizabeth Wood	671 CR 252 HondoTX 788	
Jink Wale	Jimmy K WALCH	3990 FM 2676 78861	
Aural E. Allen	AURAL E. ALLEN	14 CR 464 HONDO	
La Jalen	Garry T. Allen	141 CR 454 Hando, TX	
Talk I James!	Varia I James	11: CO 25/ /	
// //	TELLA DI CHERSA	651 CR 35/4 Hours Tx 180	
and Out	MILITON OF KENNEW	1202 2755 Hadows	
Mary L. Renken	Mary I Pankan	in an anti 11 1 T	
W. Starbury	Wayne F. Stansbury	Popox 702 Hando TX 78%	
Dargard D. Hach	Margaret A. Skalle	JELCE 265 MIO FIX	
Pearson & Saul			
Esma L. Balon	Francis Calar	6/21 FM2676 Hento TX-781	
	LANA A. DALZEN	780 C R354 HONDO, TX 7881	
MCENA, for your Kome, Kealth, and Keritage			

Attachment:

PAGE 2

NAME (Signature)	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS	
Jeneter Partenien	Nanette Portenier	1.0. Box 762433 San Antonio, TX 78245	
Glennik Seaspa	Denvis R. GABLEH	3122 CR 265 MKD TX 78056	
Am Jest Course	Lyn Jeatt Consad	5322 Thundrabiad SAN GALLAND IX 78240 6522 Thundrabiad	
Jacque Conrad	JACQUELINE W. Compa	JAN ANTONIO - TX 78240	
Jan H. Balger	JOEH. BALZER	HONDO, Tex 1886/	
D. Wald	MAX D. WALOR	655 CR 365 HONDO, TX 18861	
Caral Casillas	CAROL CASS 11/09	455 (R365-	
Marion W. Wisnetto	MARIAN W WERNETTE	1090 Co. Rd 445 Nondo, TX 78841	
Leonard H. Wernette	LEONAR & G. WERNET.	1090 Co. Rd 445 TE HONDO, Tx 78841	
Betty Strahan	Betty Straham	291 CR445 Hands Jeyns 78861	
James W. Straker &	James W. Strahans	r. 291 CRASTEXAS	
Gredy Dittmar	Judy Dittmar	3980 FM 2676 Hondo, TE 78861	
Brig R. Mc Gulah	Bin Mc Carport	HOND TX 78861	
Store & Michilles	STEVE McCulball	1002 Cr 4165 HONDO TS 78861	
Doma Col	Donny Cole	178 PR4503 HONDO.TY	
alf Im Broken	AL BISHOP	241 CR 253 MILEO, TE 180.50	
Mary a. Crow	MARY A. Crow	4181CR4516 HONDO TX 788	
Jabotha K. Cras	Tabilla Crow	4181 CR.4516 Hondotx	
Cours McKay	_	913 CR 454 HondoTX788	
Advas K. Clan	THOMAS R. Chaw	4/89 CR 4516 HONDOTX 7886	
Geriene Bishop	Jerriene Bishop	241 CR 253 Mico. Tr 7805	
aslew Bayen	Arleen Balzen	265 CR 265-Houde TV	
MEENA, for your Home, Health, and Heritage 786/			

Attachment:

NAME (Signature)	cheed letter from MCEAA to the Tex	as Commission on Environmental Quality.
Gardy (Wood	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS
Jungay O E. Wood	Randy R. Wood	671 CR 252, HONDO TX 78864
The Dollar	HENRY RALZEN	28/CR265 Howas To 7886
1 Manel	Ray A Wayner	201 CR 265 Howas Tx 7886
myora Marchan	Cheppe War	180 Or 368 Mus TV 1852
Addancionalid	CATALOUR DWELL	(180 Cm 368 Mr. Th -
Polent Crown	Will A CO	100 Ca 368Mics Tx 7825
	AMEN (OW	4189084516 11 18
Bull and	Steve Lapp	290 CR 454 Now TO
Mariana Capp	BARBARA LAPP	290 CR 454 Hordo, 18782
JNM J	JOSEPH MYKAY	915 CR 454 Hours To 7886,
A lafel terele	Limbert Fearl	11000013511/
A The	Sean Fr.	1600 CR 351 Hard TX 780
Sharm Y House	α 1 ν 11	1600 (RJ51 min
Charital and the	Sharonk Horp	1640 CR351 Hondo Tx 2886,
111 1/	Mekiwernette	1200 CR 445 Hondo TX
4th M	JONATHAN AKEN	1120 CR 445 HONDO TX 7861
Summa Wym X	LEGRAND WIFENETTE	1200 CA. 445 MINES 98 188.
Sout Sel	Joseph SALOMON	1040 CR 353 HONDO TX 1886,
Vicki Salomon	VICKI Salomon	1040CR353 Hondo TX 7886
Korherine Banter	Katherine Baxter	222 CR 363 Honds, TX 7886
ms Barter	MORRIS B. BAXTER	222 CR 363 HOVOOTX7912
I con Dana	FLUINGM. Dudor -	210 CD 36 8 MINO K 7805
Bouth & Dune	Boolek to Dudott	ZIDCE 360 MOOK 10075
To Object.	Grace R Reed 1	141 CR 351 Hardo Tx 1886,
Gruce L. KEEd MEENA, Son	your Kome, Realth, and	Heritage
•		

PAGE 4

Attachment:

NAME (Signature)	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS
Robert Reed 195	Robert Reed	141 CR 351 Hade, R
Sind State	Linda Gordes	450 CR 351 Hmb Trossa.
andrie Huder	ARCHIE GERDES	450 00 351 HONDO FT
Alisto Jakob	Aliska Jakob	521 PR 3515 Howly, JUM
Wiefren Loadhoff	WILFREEN SAATHOR	7 6910 FM2696Hodo 14
Iran Miras	Joan McGill	6744PM 2676 Hondo, Tx 7886
many Lity Semon	Mary Fitz Simon	523 PR 3515, Hondo, TX 78861
Jacke m. Landum		716 CR 354, Hondo Tx 78861
Fister & Smorum	LESTER R. LAMBU	m 776 CR 354 HOWDU TE 781
John a This	JOHN A WAYS	1904 CR 265 HONDO IX 7886/
Janice S. Maite	Janice S. Walts	1404 CR 265 Hondo TX 788
(tota) M/ Coraxlegins	Victor M Veraster	: 4311 CR 4516 Hound TX7
Molando le Veras	Yolanda A. Verastegai	4311 CR 4576 Hords 7471
Day Aposton	LOIS D. HOESLEY	250 CR 365 HONDOTXA
Third Hoesley	MVIN E. HOESLEY	250 CR 365 HONDO, TX 78
Benjamin H. Snowderl	Benjamin N. Snowden	250 CR 365 HONDO, TX 78 Mailing POBOX 32 Fromedia Jr (4076 CR 271 MICOTY)
Margu T. Snowden		-4 4076 CX 271 MIZOTX TV
Norman B. Tenelly	NOAMAN B. TEMMUJA	4191 CR 4516 HONDO TR
normen Botony III	Norman B. Tenil III	4193 C14516 Hondotte 70001
Phi Mah	Blair C. Weber	4193 CR4516 Honoro 74
De 20	Bon Enl	4191 CR YSILO Hardo F
Carolin Wieners	Carolyn Wiemer	5 400 CR 455 Hondo, Te7
MEEAA,)	for your Rome, Realth, i	and Keritage

Attachment:

PAGE 5

NAME (Signature)	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS
Guare Wieshers	Duane Wiemers	400 CR 455 Hondo (x)
ton VAL	Robin Portenier	331 CR 251 Hondo, TX 788
J. del 8- 1	Ted Portenier	331 CR 252 Hondo Tr 788
Bla Gnight		620 CR 262 MICO TX 180
Gene Miranda	Rene Miranda	620 CR 262 MCOTX 1801
John & Hennel	John D. KENNERLY	555 P.R. 3501 Mico Tay 781
Breads B. Kennerly		4 555 P.R. 3501 Miles, Su 180
Sola Syring	_	1021 OF 36. THO
Sheley Mr. Orgenger	1	1021 CR 365, Honds Tex 78
Kuth M. Danis		5885 FM 2675 HONDOJTX78
Dewin D. Davia		10 5885 FM 16:5 Hondo To
Looke on Grownen		SULV FINDERL Homes Ja
ypothing smory		190 CR 4510, Hondo, TX 7881
Alm K Lying	· '/	190CR4512, Hondo, YX7884,
Jun medent gran	· ·	190 CR 4512, Hondo, 1X 78841
Melnyslly		3980 JU2676 Hordo 780
Day of the same of	-	881 CR353 Hondo TX 786/
Dun Wittman	Donna Dittmer	881 CR353 Hondo, TX7880
Nancy Ivey	Nancy Ivey	295 CR 450 Hondo, Tx. 785